

# Reducing Primary Inoculum Sources of Late Blight

Didier Andrivon, INRA, France; Bert Evenhuis and Huub Schepers, WUR, Netherlands;  
Denis Gaucher, ACTA, France; Jozefa Kapsa and Renata Lebecka, IHAR, Poland;  
Bent Nielsen, AU, Denmark; Michelina Ruocco, CNR, Italy



Photo © Belchim Crop Protection



Food Quality and Safety  
6TH FRAMEWORK  
PROGRAMME

# Reducing Primary Inoculum Sources of Late Blight

The first step in an integrated control strategy is reducing the primary sources of inoculum

In a number of European countries it has been shown that in most years blight epidemics caused by *Phytophthora infestans* (late blight) start from infected plants on dumps. In the Netherlands, for example, a regulation exists that forces growers to cover dumps with black plastic before April 15 each year. ([www.productschapakkerbouw.nl](http://www.productschapakkerbouw.nl)). And in the UK the influence of dumps on the late blight epidemic is an important part of the Fight against Blight campaign ([www.potato.org.uk](http://www.potato.org.uk)). It is difficult to quantify the effect on late blight of eliminating dumps, but maybe the time between the first appearance of blight in a region and the appearance in production fields could be used as an indication of the influence of eliminating dumps.

## Infested seed potatoes

There is no doubt that infested seed tubers are a major inoculum source. In the Netherlands a survey of early infections between 2003 and 2005 showed that epidemics were driven by infested seed in 39% of the cases investigated. It is recommended that certified seed be used but this is no guarantee that the seed will be completely free from blight since blight can be latently present in the seed tubers. Furthermore, in Poland for example, the availability of certified seed is limited.

It is technically possible (through polymerase chain reaction or PCR) to detect latent infections in seed tubers. The problem is, however, that when just one in 10,000 tubers is infected, this is sufficient to create a primary inoculum source. With such a low frequency of occurrence it becomes almost impossible to find it in any sample of a reasonable size. It might also be possible that infected tubers not only infect a plant that grows from this tuber but can also infect daughter tubers without infecting above-ground plant parts.

In order to assess the risk for the occurrence of latently infected tubers, it is recommended that a survey be made of the growing season in which the seed potatoes were grown. The incidence of late blight in the crop and the choice of the fungicides and their timing will provide information that can be used to assess the risk for the occurrence of latently infected tubers.

## Oospores are a threat

Oospores are readily produced in unsprayed crops and volunteer potatoes. A survey in the Netherlands of early infections between 2003 and 2005 showed that the epidemics were driven by oospores in 18% of the cases investigated. Usually, these were found in the starch growing region in the north-east of the country.

Especially with short crop rotation schemes, oospores are a threatening primary inoculum source. Sandy and clay soils contaminated with oospores remained infectious for 48 and 34 months respectively. In the starch potato region, potatoes are usually grown every two or three years. Moreover, late blight control at the end of the growing season is not strictly carried out. As a consequence, oospores can survive in the soil until the next potato crop.

Usually the ratio of the A1 and A2 mating types in the same field is used as an indication for the (possible) occurrence of oospores. Monitoring for both mating types can help to assess the risk for the occurrence of oospores. There are still a lot of questions regarding oospores. It has been stated that the best way to reduce the influence of oospores is to prevent the development of late blight in the previous crop and to control volunteers (in which oospores can form abundantly).

### Volunteers must be controlled

Volunteer potatoes can be readily found in European countries with mild winters. Volunteers are self-set potatoes from a previous commercial crop growing as weeds in other crops. In the same way as potatoes in dumps, they may carry blight inoculum and, if they survive the winter conditions, can act as a primary infection source.

Equally important is the fact that they are not protected by fungicide sprays so the developing foliage may be infected at any time during the season and become an ongoing source of inoculum to nearby crops. Volunteers tend to emerge and senesce over long periods of time making it difficult to achieve good control with herbicides.

Usually, the role of volunteers is to accelerate the epidemic rather than to serve as a primary inoculum source. But in 2007 there were strong indications that infected volunteers also acted as primary infection sources. To reduce their role in producing inoculum, volunteers must be controlled. Depending on the crop in which the volunteers occur, control is usually difficult and labour-intensive.



Potatoes not harvested the previous year produce many volunteers. © Huub Schepers, WUR.

### Reducing risk from covered crops

Early crops covered with perforated polythene can act as a source of inoculum for neighboring potato fields: first outbreaks are regularly reported to originate from polythene-covered crops. Usually, late blight is not controlled under polythene and if primary inoculum sources are present in the field, infection is discovered only when the polythene is lifted.

Spraying fungicides (plus adjuvants) over polythene-covered crops results in a certain level of protection of the potato leaves. Combining this strategy with warning neighboring growers when the cover is removed, removing the cover in dry sunny weather and immediately spraying the crop after removal of the cover, will help to reduce the impact of covered crops as an early infection source.



Spraying over covered crops partially protects potatoes against blight. © Huub Schepers, WUR.

# Vermindering primaire inoculumbronnen van aardappelziekte

## Samenvatting

De eerste stap in een geïntegreerde bestrijdingsstrategie tegen aardappelziekte is vermindering van de primaire inoculumbronnen. Deze folder beschrijft de belangrijkste bronnen en manieren waarop risico's kunnen worden beperkt.

In een aantal Europese landen is aangetoond dat in de meeste jaren aardappelziekte-epidemieën beginnen vanuit geïnfecteerde planten op afvalhopen en in Nederland zijn telers bijvoorbeeld verplicht afvalhopen voor 15 april van elk jaar af te dekken met zwart plastic.

Geïnfecteerde pootaardappelen vormen een andere belangrijke besmettingsbron en waar mogelijk moet goedgekeurd pootgoed worden gebruikt. Het testen op latente infecties in pootgoed blijft problematisch en deze folder geeft adviezen over de aanpak daarvan.

Oösporen vormen een andere bedreiging, vooral bij korte vruchtwisselingen en aardappelopslag. Aardappelopslag wordt vaak aangetroffen in Europese landen met milde winters en is vaak moeilijk te bestrijden en het bestrijden is arbeidsintensief. In 2007 waren er aanwijzingen dat aardappelopslag fungeerde als primaire infectiebron aan het begin van het seizoen. In andere jaren draagt (de niet met fungiciden behandelde) aardappelopslag vaak bij aan een versnelling van de aardappelziekte-epidemie gedurende het seizoen.

## Voor nadere informatie kunt u contact opnemen met:

Huub Schepers, Praktijkonderzoek Plant & Omgeving, Wageningen University,  
Postbus 430, 8200AK, Lelystad, Netherlands.

Telefoon: (+31) 320 291 636

E-mail: [huub.schepers@wur.nl](mailto:huub.schepers@wur.nl)

## Over ENDURE

ENDURE is het Europees Netwerk voor de Duurzame Toepassing van Gewasbeschermingsstrategieën. ENDURE is een 'Network of Excellence' (NoE) met twee hoofddoelstellingen: herstructurering van Europees onderzoek en ontwikkeling op het gebied van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en het ontwikkelen van ENDURE tot wereldleider in de ontwikkeling en toepassing van duurzame bestrijdingsstrategieën door middel van:

- > Opbouw van een blijvende onderzoeksgemeenschap op het gebied van gewasbescherming
- > Eindgebruikers voorzien van een bredere reeks korte-termijn oplossingen
- > Ontwikkeling van een holistische benadering van duurzame gewasbescherming
- > Volgen van en informeren over veranderingen in het gewasbeschermingsbeleid.

Achttien organisaties in 10 Europese landen hebben zich voor vier jaar verbonden aan ENDURE (2007-2010), met financiële steun van het Zesde Kaderprogramma, prioriteit 5: Voedselkwaliteit en Veiligheid, van de Europese Commissie.

## Website and ENDURE Information Centre:

[www.endure-network.eu](http://www.endure-network.eu)

This publication was funded by EU grant (Project number: 031499), under the Sixth Framework Programme, and is catalogued as ENDURE Potato Case Study – Guide Number 1 (Dutch), published in January, 2010.

© Photos, from top to bottom: A.S. Walker; INRA, C. Slagmulder; JKI, B. Hommel; Agroscope ART; SZIE; INRA, N. Bertrand; Vitropic; INRA, F. Carreras; JKI, B. Hommel; INRA, J. Weber; INRA, J.F. Picard; JKI, B. Hommel

